



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

MARY ALEXANDER, on behalf of the  
Estate of Donnell Alexander  
Plaintiff,

vs.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,  
Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:08-3753-HFF-BHH

---

---

ORDER

---

---

This case was filed under § 205(g) of the Social Security Act, seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security regarding Donnell Alexander's claim for disability insurance benefits. Plaintiff is represented by counsel. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that the decision of the Commissioner be affirmed. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on January 6, 2010, but Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Having reviewed the record, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that the ALJ's decision was supported by substantial evidence. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that the decision of the Commissioner is **AFFIRMED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 26th day of January, 2010, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd  
HENRY F. FLOYD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 60 days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.